



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of  
Don Coppersmith et al.

Serial No. 09/182,279

Group Art Unit: 2764

Filed: October 29, 1998

Examiner: C. Nguyen

For: SYSTEM FOR PROTECTING GOODS AGAINST  
COUNTERFEITING

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

DEC 06 2000

Technology Center 2100

RECEIVED  
DEC-4 2000  
TC 2800 MAIL ROOM

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111**

Sir:

This paper is filed responsive to the Office Action mailed on August 30, 2000.

**PERSONAL INTERVIEW:**

The undersigned again thanks the Examiner for the courtesy extended at the personal interview on November 29, 2000. During the interview, the prior art was discussed and particularly the reference to Fuji-Keizai USA. Applicants maintain that the prior art of record relied upon by the Examiner does not show *prima facie* obviousness as required under § 103 as discussed in more detail below in the REMARKS section. While no agreement was reached with regard to claims 1-20, the Examiner agreed that claim 21, which is directed to detecting goods being sold in a parallel market, deserves additional attention since the prior art of record does not address these limitations. The Examiner has therefore agreed conduct a further search with regard to this aspect of the invention.

With regard to claims 1-20, the Examiner was unable to point to any of the applied references and show where it was taught or suggested to use a smart card attached to a good, containing encrypted authentication data